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## Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham

Fire and Rescue Authority
Finance and Resources Committee

## PRUDENTIAL CODE MONITORING REPORT TO 30 SEPTEMBER 2008

## Report of the Treasurer to the Fire and Rescue Authority

## Agenda Item No:

Date: 24 October 2008

## Purpose of Report:

To inform Members of performance up to 30 September 2008 relating to the prudential indicators for capital accounting and treasury management. These prudential indicators for 2008/09 were agreed by the Fire and Rescue Authority at its meeting on 22 February 2008.

## CONTACT OFFICER

| Name : | Neil Timms <br> Head of Finance and Resources |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tel : | 01159670880 |
| Email : | neil.timms@notts-fire.gov.uk |$\quad$| Media Enquiries | Elisabeth Reeson <br> Contact : |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(0115) 9675889$ elisabeth.reeson@notts-fire.gov.uk |  |

## 1. BACKGROUND

1.1 The Local Government Act 2003 set out a framework for the financing of capital investments in local authorities. The principles underpinning this framework offer more freedom in the way that capital expenditure is financed, such that local authorities may choose the level of capital expenditure (and thus financing) which best suits their needs and investment priorities.
1.2 In order to assist authorities in determining the most appropriate levels of spending and indebtedness, the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) has developed a 'Prudential Code' which requires a number of limits and indicators to be set each year.
1.3 The Fire and Rescue Authority approved these 'prudential limits' for 2008/09 at its meeting on 22 February 2008.
1.4 The Prudential Code requires that local authorities report performance against prudential targets to Members.

## 2. REPORT

2.1 In terms of borrowing, the Authority set an operational boundary for 2008/09 of $£ 22.473 \mathrm{~m}$ and an authorised limit of $£ 24.720 \mathrm{~m}$. Although these limits are year end targets, the Authority is required to demonstrate that it has not exceeded them at any time during the financial year. During the period 1 April 2008 to 30 September 2008 the maximum indebtedness of the Authority was $£ 9.820 \mathrm{~m}$, including any requirements for temporary overdrafts thus keeping within these limits. The graph given as Appendix B illustrates the levels of borrowing during the period to the end of September.
2.2 During the period, the Authority has maintained the policy of lending only to institutions on the authorised lending list. Since the list was approved by the Authority, five institutions have been removed from the list because their ratings have been downgraded (American Express Bank Ltd; Dexia Bank Belgium; Dexia Banque Luxembourg; Fortis Bank; Fortis Banque Luxembourg). At the time of writing this report, the Treasury Management Strategy is under review given the current global economic turmoil. The Chair of Finance and Resources Committee will be kept informed of any proposed amendments to the strategy which may require immediate implementation in order to protect the security of the Authority's cash resources. If there are changes, a revised strategy will be reported to the next Finance and Resources Committee.
2.3 A graph of cumulative interest earnings is also shown on Appendix B. An interest earnings target of $£ 220,000$ was set for 2008/09. As at 30 September 2008, £133,083.46 has been earned. The prudential targets relating to interest rate exposure are that fixed interest rate exposures should be between $0 \%$ and $100 \%$ of total lending and that variable interest rate
exposures should be between $0 \%$ and $30 \%$. During the period up to 30 September 2008, 100\% of lending was at fixed interest rates.
2.4 The prudential target in respect of cash management is that the Authority's bank overdraft should not exceed $£ 500,000$. During the three month period up to 30 September 2008 the highest level of overdraft was $£ 56,000$. A graph of cash balances for the three months up to 30 September 2008 is shown on Appendix A.

Prudential targets relating to loan maturity are shown below:

| Loan Maturity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upper Limit | Lower Limit |
| Under 12 months | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 12 months to 5 years | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 5 years to 10 years | $75 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Over 10 years | $100 \%$ | $25 \%$ |

Actual performance against these targets in the period to 30 September 2008 is shown in the following graphs:



## 3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The financial implications are set out in full within this report. Performance during the period is within the prudential limits.
4. HUMAN RESOURCES AND LEARNING AND DEVELOPMENT IMPLICATIONS

There are no human resources and learning and development implications arising directly from this report.

## 5. EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

There are no equality implications arising directly from this report.

## 6. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

There are no crime and disorder implications arising from this report.

## 7. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no legal implications arising from this report.

## 8. RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

The Prudential Code is a framework which sets out to quantify and minimise financial risk arising from the financing of capital, the investment of surplus funds and the maintenance of operating cash balances for the Authority. The favourable performance against the prudential targets demonstrates that these areas of operation are being managed effectively.

## 9. RECOMMENDATIONS

That Members note the contents of this report.

## 10. BACKGROUND PAPERS FOR INSPECTION (OTHER THAN PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS)

None.

## CASH BALANCES APRIL 2008 - SEPTEMBER 2008





